2210-17 CMAR for Future Bond Projects

Final Evaluation

**November 15, 2022--All responding contractors awarded.

| Criteria _ | Balfour | BWC | CORE | Crossland | Joeris | Lee Lewis | Northstar | Steele & Freeman |
|---|---------|-----|------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| A. EXPERIENCE | | | | | | | | |
| A1. How substantial is the firm's recent experience as CMAR in the construction of educational projects of comparable size and complexity? (Points X2) | 60 | 54 | 60 | 56 | 60 | 56 | 60 | 48 |
| A2. Is the firm knowledgeable about, experienced in the North Texas Education construction market? Office located in North Texas? | 30 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 26 |
| A3. Do the personnel proposed for the example project appear to have the appropriate experience and capabilities? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 28 |
| A4. Has the firm worked for the district in the past? Past performance? | 30 | 10 | 19 | 10 | 19 | 19 | 29 | 21 |
| PART A Subtotal | 150 | 123 | 139 | 126 | 139 | 135 | 149 | 123 |
| B. OWNER'S NEEDS | | | | | | | | |
| B1. Does the Construction Manager appear to have the capability and staff to meet the Owner's schedule objectives, needs and goals? | 30 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 20 |
| B2. Does the description provided by the firm of its preconstruction services evidence both understanding and capabilities of the process in general and as it applies to this project? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 24 |
| B3. Does the Construction Manager have adequate bonding capacity? Financial Stability? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| PART B Subtotal | 90 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 74 |
| C. COST ISSUES | | | | | | | | |
| C1. What is the Lump Sum Cost of the pre-construction services? | 22 | 27 | 18 | 29 | 23 | 28 | 19 | 24 |
| C2. What is the percentage fee cost for construction services? | 20 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 26 | 24 | 9 | 14 |
| C3. Does the firm appear to provide detailed cost estimates, useful to the Owner and the Architect and comparable with actual bids? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 |
| C4. If savings are realized during construction, are all the savings returned to the Owner at the end of the project? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| C5. If the Construction Manager has contingency remaining at the end of the project, what percentage will be returned to the Owner? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| C6. What is the insurance rate modifier? | 25 | 18 | 26 | 30 | 19 | 13 | 20 | 18 |
| C7. What is sub guard cost? | 18 | 23 | 18 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 10 | 18 |
| PART C Subtotal | 175 | 178 | 174 | 198 | 183 | 185 | 148 | 163 |

2210-17 CMAR for Future Bond Projects

Final Evaluation

**November 15, 2022--All responding contractors awarded.

| Criteria | Balfour | BWC | CORE | Crossland | Joeris | Lee Lewis | Northstar | Steele & Freeman |
|---|---------|-----|------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| D. MISCELLANEOUS FACTORS | | | | | | | | |
| D1. Did the references listed (both Owners and Architects) have a | | | | | | | | |
| favorable experience with the firm? | 30 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 29 | 23 |
| D2. What is the firm's litigation record? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| D3. Track record of completing projects on time and within | | | | | | | | |
| budget. | 30 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 19 |
| D4. Proposed contract terms and Article 13 requirements | | | | | | | | |
| acceptable? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| D5. What is firm's safety record and insurance multiplier? | 30 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 28 |
| D6. Felony Conviction Notice by the CMAR (proposer)? | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| PART D Subtotal | 180 | 175 | 179 | 178 | 179 | 176 | 175 | 160 |
| TOTAL POINTS PARTS A+B+C+D | 595 | 565 | 582 | 592 | 591 | 586 | 562 | 520 |
| RANKING | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 |